

31 August 1982 SIG (I) Attendees

Office of the Vice President	Mr. Philip Hughes
Central Intelligence Agency	Mr. William J. Casey (Chairing) Director
	Mr. John N. McMahon Deputy Director
Department of State	Mr. Hugh Montgomery Director, INR
Defense Intelligence Agency/ Joint Chiefs of Staff	LTG James A. Williams Director, DIA
Department of Justice	Mr. Edward Schmults Deputy Attorney General
National Security Council	Mr. Robert C. McFarlane Deputy Assistant to the President for National Security Affairs
Department of Energy	Mr. Herman Roser Assistant Secretary for Defense Programs
Department of Treasury	Mr. R. T. McNamera Deputy Secretary of the Treasury
Office of Management and Budget	Mr. Joseph Wright Deputy Director
Department of Commerce	<del>David Peterson</del> Sherman E. Unger <del>Director, Office of Intelligence</del> <del>Liaison</del> Counsel
Intelligence Community Staff	<div data-bbox="850 1495 1279 1570" style="border: 1px solid black; width: 264px; height: 36px; display: inline-block;"></div> 25X1
OMB	William Schneider, Director for National Security and International Affairs and his replacement Dr. Alton Keel
Department of Defense	Deputy Secretary Frank Carlucci General Stilwell

## An Improved Policy-Intelligence Dialogue

### The Problem

Informal relationships and requirements between policy agencies and intelligence agencies generally are satisfactory and quite active. The formal requirements process and dialogue is infrequent (once a year) and sterile. We need to make the formal relationship more dynamic and useful for both parties.

### A Proposal

1. Establish a SIG-I to meet quarterly to discuss (1) current needs and requirements and (2) possible changes in long-range priorities, and to permit a candid and ongoing dialogue about intelligence support for the policy process. The SIG-I would be chaired by the DDCI and participation would be at the Undersecretary level to insure that members had a broad perspective of departmental needs.

2. To diminish confusion about the requirements process, the long-range or "continuing" NITs would be eliminated. They would be replaced by the existing DCID 1/2 priority listing, which would be provided to members of the SIG prior to each quarterly meeting to afford an opportunity for policy agency-sponsored revisions in the priority list. Because this list is so basic, one would anticipate relatively few changes.

3. Prior to each of the quarterly meetings of the SIG, a list of appropriate CIA, DIA, INR and NIC publications would be provided to the members of the SIG, with each publication keyed to the current NIT or DCID 1/2 subject to which it was responsive.

4. Every six months (or once a year), the Intelligence Community under the auspices of the Intelligence Producers Council would prepare a statement on each current NIT and major DCID 1/2 subject of the identified significant gaps in US knowledge on that subject. This would be intended to serve as a guide for intelligence collectors and analysts, as well as useful background information for policymakers.



Central Intelligence Agency  
Office of the Deputy Director for Intelligence

30 August 1982

NOTE TO: DCI  
DDCI

FROM : DDI

Proposal for the SIG-I as promised.



*DDCI concurs.*